

UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 06098614 8

Materials for

**INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL AND INVESTOR-STATE
ARBITRATION (LAW 513H1F)**

VOLUME II

2007-2008

John Terry & Paul Michell
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

*These Materials are sold at cost and
are not to be considered as published.
They are solely for the educational
use of students at the University of Toronto
or at other educational institutions
to whom the Materials
may also be sold at cost.*

Storage

K
2400
.T47
2007
v.2
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

FEB - 8 2008

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Materials for

**INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL AND INVESTOR-STATE
ARBITRATION (LAW 513H1F)**

VOLUME II

2007-2008

John Terry & Paul Michell
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

*These Materials are sold at cost and
are not to be considered as published.
They are solely for the educational
use of students at the University of Toronto
or at other educational institutions
to whom the Materials
may also be sold at cost.*

INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION (LAW 513H1S)
2007-2008 Materials, Volume II
John Terry & Paul Michell

Table of Contents

V. COURT INTERVENTION IN THE ARBITRAL PROCESS

A. Stay of Proceedings (“Referral to Arbitration”)

<i>Canadian National Railway Co. v. Lovat Tunnel Equipment Inc.</i> (1999), 174 D.L.R. (4th) 385 (Ont. C.A.).....	294
<i>Nanisivik Mines Ltd. v. Canarctic Shipping Co. Ltd.</i> (1994), 113 D.L.R. (4th) 536 (Fed. C.A.)	297

B. Protective and Preliminary Measures

<i>Silver Standard Resources Inc. v. Joint Stock Co. Geolog</i> (1998), 168 D.L.R. (4th) 309 (B.C.C.A.).....	301
Report of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on the work of its thirty-ninth session, 19 June-7 July 2006, Revised articles of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, Doc. A/61/17	309

C. Anti-Suit Injunctions

<i>XL Insurance v. Owens Corning</i> , [2000] 2 Ll. R. 500 (Q.B.D. (Comm. Ct.))	313
---	-----

D. Court Assistance in Taking Evidence—Discovery

<i>Four Seasons Hotels Ltd. v. Legacy Hotels Real Estate Investment Trust</i> (2003), 36 C.P.C. (5th) 138 (Ont. S.C.J.).....	318
<i>B.F. Jones Logistics Inc. and Jones v. Rolko and Decoma International Inc.</i> (2004), 72 O.R. (3d) 355 (S.C.J.).....	321
<i>Jardine Lloyd Thompson Canada Inc. v. Western Oil Sands Inc.</i> (2006), 264 D.L.R. (4th) 358 (Alta. C.A.).....	323

VI. ARBITRAL AWARDS, CHALLENGES AND APPEALS

A. Place of Award

<i>Hiscox v. Outhwaite</i> [1992] 1 A.C. 562 (H.L.).....	329
--	-----

B. Form of Award

<i>Bremer Handelsgesellschaft mbH v. Westzucker GmbH (No. 2)</i> , [1981] 2 Ll. R. 130 (C.A.)	334
---	-----

C. Interim and Final Awards

<i>Slocan Forest Products Ltd. v. Skeena Cellulose Inc.</i> (2001), 203 D.L.R. (4th) 216 (B.C. S.C.).....	337
<i>Publicis Comm. v. True North Comms., Inc.</i> 206 F.3d 725 (7th Cir. 2000)	339

D. Settlements and Termination of Proceedings

<i>The Paola D'Alesio</i> , [1994] 2 Ll. R. 367	341
---	-----

E. Effect of Award

<i>Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Ltd. v. European Reinsurance Company of Zurich</i> , [2003] 1 W.L.R. 1041 (P.C., appeal from Bermuda)	344
---	-----

F. Appeals

<i>Arab African Energy Corp. Ltd. v. Olieproducten Nederland B.V.</i> , [1983] 2 Ll. R. 419 (Q.B.D. (Comm. Ct.))	349
<i>Compagnie nationale Air France c. Mbaye</i> , [2003] R.J.Q. 1040 (C.A.).....	351

G. Applications to Set Award Aside

Posner and Voser, "Should International Arbitration Awards Be Reviewable?" (2000) ASIL Proc. 126-134	358
<i>Yusuf Ahmed Alghanim & Sons v. Toys "R" Us, Inc.</i> , 126 F.3d 15 (2d Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 1042 (1998)	363
<i>Corporacion Transnacional de Inversiones S.A. de C.V. v. STET International, S.p.A.</i> (1999), 45 O.R. (3d) 183 (S.C.J.), aff'd (2000), 49 O.R. (3d) 414 (C.A.), leave to appeal ref'd, [2001] 1 S.C.R. ix	368

<i>Noble China Inc. v. Lei</i> (1998), 42 O.R. (3d) 69 (Gen. Div.) [Comm. List]	379
<i>Canada (Attorney General) v. S.D. Myers, Inc.</i> , [2004] FC 38 (T.D.)	390

VII. RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN ARBITRAL AWARDS

A. Formalities Requirements

<i>Proctor v. Schellenberg</i> (2002), 30 B.L.R. (3d) 1 (Man. C.A.)	399
<i>Bergesen v. Joseph Muller Corp.</i> , 710 F.2d 928 (2d Cir. 1983)	402

B. Grounds for Refusal to Recognize or Enforce Foreign Arbitral Awards

1. Generally

<i>Parsons & Whittemore Overseas Co., Inc. v. Société Generale de l'Industrie du Papier ("RAKTA")</i> , 508 F.2d 969 (2d Cir. 1974)	405
---	-----

2. ML art. 36(1)(a)(i) / NYC art. V(1)(a): party to arbitration agreement under disability or agreement not valid

3. ML art. 36(1)(a)(ii) / NYC art. V(1)(b): party against whom award invoked not given proper notice of appointment of arbitrator or proceedings or was otherwise unable to present case

<i>Iran Aircraft Industries v. Avco Corp.</i> , 980 F.2d 141 (2d Cir. 1992)	409
---	-----

4. ML art. 36(1)(a)(iii) / NYC art. V(1)(c): award beyond scope of arbitration agreement/arbitrators had no jurisdiction to make award

<i>Ministry of Public Works (Tunisia) v. Société Bec Frères</i> (1997) XXII Y.B. Comm. Arb. 682 (Paris Cour d'Appel 1994)	412
---	-----

5. ML art. 36(1)(a)(iv) / NYC art. V(1)(d): composition of arbitral tribunal or arbitral procedure not in accordance with agreement of parties or law of country where arbitration took place

<i>Javor v. Francoeur</i> (2003), 13 B.C.L.R. (4th) 195 (S.C.), affd (2004), 25 B.C.L.R. (4th) 114 (C.A.)	416
<i>Food Services of America Inc. v. Pan Pacific Specialties Ltd.</i> (1997), 32 B.C.L.R. (3d) 225 (S.C.)	421

6. ML art. 36(1)(a)(v) / NYC art. V(1)(e): award not yet binding on parties or has been set aside or suspended

<i>Baker Marine (Nig.) Ltd. v. Chevron (Nig.) Ltd.</i> , 191 F.3d 194 (2d Cir. 1999)	425
<i>Rosseel NV v. Oriental Commercial & Shipping Co (UK) Ltd.</i> , [1991] 2 Ll. Rep 625 (Q.B. (Comm. Ct.))	427

7. ML art. 36(1)(b)(i) / NYC art. V(2)(a): subject matter of dispute not capable of arbitration under law of this state

<i>Kanto Yakin Kogyo Kabushiki-Kaisha v. Can-Eng Manufacturing Ltd.</i> (1992), 7 O.R. (3d) 779 (Gen. Div.), aff'd (1995), 22 O.R. (3d) 576 (C.A.)	429
--	-----

8. ML art. 36(1)(b)(ii) / NYC V(2)(b): recognition or enforcement of the award would be contrary to the public policy of this state

<i>Schreter v. Gasmac Inc.</i> (1992), 89 D.L.R. (4th) 365 (Ont. Gen. Div.)	436
---	-----

9. Other Defences to Recognition or Enforcement?

<i>Base Metal Trading Ltd. v. OJSC Novokuznetsky Aluminum Factory</i> , 283 F.3d 208 (4th Cir. 2002)	442
--	-----

C. Recognition and Enforcement Under Article VII of NY Convention

<i>Chromalloy Aeroservices v Arab Rep. of Egypt</i> , 939 F.Supp. 907 (D.D.C. 1996)....	445
<i>Omnium de Traitement et de Valorisation v. Hilmarton</i> (1995) XX Y.B. Comm. Arb. 663 (Fr. Cour de Cassation 1994)); (1997) XXII Y.B. Comm. Arb. 696 (Fr. Cour de Cassation 1997)	449
<i>Omnium de Traitement et de Valorisation v. Hilmarton Limited</i> , [1999] 2 Ll. R. 222 (Q.B.D.)	451

D. Stay of Enforcement Proceedings Pending Foreign Challenge to Award

<i>Powerex Corp. v. Alcan Inc.</i> , [2003] B.C.J. No. 1674 (S.C.)	453
--	-----

